UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,371	09/18/2003	Hee Kyung Lee	51876P389	8435
	7590	EXAMINER		
	AD PARKWAY	LUONG, ALAN H		
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/666,371	LEE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	712/11/200110	2020
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	• •	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	•
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	•
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see continuation sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowance because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Scott Beliveau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623		

Continuation of 11 does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that "Masters does not appear to disclose generating an EPG for informing the user that the preferred program is outputted to the personal channel. That is, in the present invention, the personal channel is created containing programs selected based upon viewing history and then an EPG is generated reflecting the program information which has been recorded by the PDR".(Remark, page 10)

Examiner disagrees in response: In Fig.4; Master explicitly discloses a submenu [44] for " determining the preferred duration and the preferred program in the preferred duration based on usage history of the PDR" (see col. 8, lines 5-19) and on EPG displayed by PVR[4], user can "update the instance" description data to include the preferred duration and the preferred program" above; (col. 8 line 31-col. 9 line13).

Moreover, Master also points out" generating an electronic program guide (EPG)" information from EPG module 26 inside PVR[4] (although it is shown outside PVR[4] in Fig. 3 in the other case).(see col. 5, lines 44 -55) for informing the user that the preferred program is outputted on the personal channel at the preferred duration based on the updated instance description data.(see col. 8 line 31-col. 9 line13)...

Also, Applicant argues that "the EPG contains programming information for only a single channel, i.e., the personal channel, and is generated by the invented method or apparatus, and is not created externally and then provided to the PDR".

Examiner disagrees in response: Claims 1, 10, 19 and 25 do not require that the EPG contains programming information for a single channel per se.

Therefore, Master discloses all issues of claims 1, 10, 19 and 25.

Applicant amended Claim 1 which refers to delete"outputting the EPG to the PDR". For this reason, the scope of the previously presented claim 1 is changed, this change will effect all dependent claims 3, 4 and 5 of claim 1, thereby necessitating further search and/or consideration on the part of the examiner.

May 12, 2008

AL